

gallen

no response

4/4/93

Dear Dick,

As soon as I read as far as the article I refer to in the ^{beginning of a} ~~enclose~~ ^{enclose} proposed magazine piece I sat down and off the top of the head drafted what I ^{enclose}. I stopped when I ^{id} called me to see "our" Canadian hunkers, returned for this mating season, as they cautiously eased their way to the house, where they remember food from last year. We love it!

There is no purpose in my writing ~~any~~ more now. I have no means of placing any article without taking time I do not want to spend that way.

I can write this at the kind of length I think I remember from the New York Review or that ^{Village} ^{Voice} weekly whose name for the moment escapes me.

It should be a good promotion for the book.

If published it should attract serious interest in it.

If C & G is ^{interested}, they can place it wherever they may want to try without asking me as long as it is not a disreputable publication and they and/or the publication can edit as either sees fit.

I say also what I hope might interest serious editors, that so-called experts often do not know their assholes from their appetites (with an alternative formulation), prove it and tell some of the truth. I can do both at some length if length is desired. I no longer see The New York Review or the Village ^{Voice} or The Atlantic or Harpers but there was a time when such publications would have had an interest in what I propose and hope is sufficient ^{by} indicated in what is very rough but I ^{EN} ^{enclose} anyway.

And, I think, I have credentials the ~~Andrews~~ Andrews of literary whoredom do not have.

I do not recall the title of Garrows' second book, the one for which he got the Stanley Levison and other files I identified to him from that ~~inventory~~ ^{inventory}, which blew his mind. Garrow did not send me a copy, he like so many is that cheap. But what ^a picture that two-inch volumes of inventories would make, with pictures of pages selected to show a maximum number of file identifications. So far as publication is concerned, this inventory is unknown, unless ^G arrow mentioned it in his book. Its ~~extent~~ ^{ent} is really massive! And it does not include the counterpart FBIHQ records.

If there is interest, if someone else will edit it, I can findsh this in short order ^{at} at some length if desired or to be cut.

Best,

Hard